

REMARKS

Claims 1-15, 15-22, and 24-26, as amended, are pending in this application. In this Response, Applicants have amended certain claims. In light of the Office Action, Applicants believe these amendments serve a useful clarification purpose, and are desirable for clarification purposes, independent of patentability. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the claim amendments do not limit the range of any permissible equivalents.

In particular, claims 3 and 10 have been amended to further clarify features of the present invention. As no new matter has been added, Applicants respectfully request entry of these amendments at this time.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter in claims 1-2, 5-6, 11/1, 11/5, 12-13, 15-16, 17/5, 18-22, and 24-26. As discussed below, the remaining pending claims are also believed to be in condition for allowance.

THE REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 3-4, 6, 7/1, 7/5, 8-9, 10, 11/3, and 17/3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite for the reasons provided on Pages 3-6 of the Advisory Action. In addition, the Examiner noted that the previously submitted proposed amendments would not be entered because they raised new issues under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Advisory Action at Page 3. In particular, the Examiner asserted that the use of brackets in claims 7 and 10 was ambiguous. *Id.* at Page 5. In addition, the Examiner noted that the proposed amendment to claim 10 appeared to be missing a left parenthesis marking before the leftmost oxygen atom.

In response, Applicant has modified the brackets to address the Examiner's concerns about ambiguity. In addition, Applicant has amended claim 10 to include the correct chemical formula.

In light of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections are overcome.

CONCLUSION

All claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that the present remarks still do not resolve all of the issues regarding patentability of the pending claims, Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned attorneys to discuss any remaining issues.

A Petition for Extension of Time is submitted herewith extending the period for response one month to and including November 19, 2010. No other fees are believed to be due at this time. Should any fee be required, however, please charge such fee to Hanify & King, P.C. Deposit Account No. 50-4545, Order No. 5222-033-RE.

Respectfully submitted,
HANIFY & KING
Professional Corporation

Dated: November 19, 2010

By: 

Timothy J. Murphy, Registration No. 62,585
HANIFY & KING
Professional Corporation
1055 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 403-2115 Telephone
(202) 429-4380 Facsimile